

## **Appendix A**

# **Cambridge Planning Policy Compliance with the National Planning Policy Framework**

The Cambridge Local Plan was adopted in July 2006 (under the transitional arrangements, which accompanied the 2004 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act). It is the main consideration in the determination of planning applications and forms part of the development plan for Cambridge. It sets out a vision, policies and proposals for future development and land use in Cambridge to 2016 and beyond.

The Local Plan (2006) was prepared in the context of a national planning regime that has now been superseded by the Localism Act 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2012).

Whilst the review of the Local Plan is underway, the Local Plan (2006), two Area Action Plans and six Supplementary Planning documents have been reviewed to establish the extent to which they are compliant with the NPPF.

Overall, the Local Plan (2006) is considered to be compliant with the NPPF, with limited areas where the Plan is silent, or there is conflict. Where this does occur, it is on the basis that a new concept, initiative or change in policy direction at a national level has been introduced since adoption in 2006. Where this is the case, these issues are being addressed through the current review of the Local Plan. Appendix 1 to

this note outlines the assessment of the Local Plan (2006) against the NPPF.

Given the direct link between the Local Plan (2006) and the Area Action Plans, and Supplementary Planning Documents, it is has been concluded that these are also complaint with the NPPF.

## Appendix 1

### Cambridge Local Plan 2006 and National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Compliance

#### *Introduction p.1 (NPPF reference)*

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
1	....distinctive local ....plans, which reflect the needs and priorities of their communities	Plan based on consultation, evidence base and public examination				
2	.... applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan ....	Paras 1.18 – 1.23				
3	National policy statements form part of the overall framework of national planning policy, and are a material consideration in decisions on planning applications.	Para 1.4 national policy a material consideration				
4	This Framework .... read in conjunction with the Government's planning policy for traveller sites. Local planning authorities preparing plans for and taking decisions on travellers sites should also have regard to the policies in this Framework ....					The approach to preparing plans for and taking decisions on travellers sites is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
5	Framework does not contain specific waste policies, since national waste planning policy will				CCC not a waste planning authority	

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	be published as part of the National Waste Management Plan for England. However, local authorities preparing waste plans and taking decisions on waste applications ....					

***Achieving sustainable development pp.2-3***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
p2 box	....the United Nations General Assembly defined sustainable development as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs	Para 3.6				There are 68 references to 'sustainable' in the Local Plan. It would not be helpful to reference them all here. The key references to paragraphs and policies that show the Plan is compliant are cited. It is not silent and there are no conflicts.
p2 box	....UK Sustainable Development Strategy <i>Securing the Future</i> set out five 'guiding principles' of sustainable development: living within the planet's environmental	Para 1.10				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	limits; ensuring a strong, healthy and just society; achieving a sustainable economy; promoting good governance; and using sound science responsibly					
6	The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development	Paras 1.9, 1.11, 1.13, Paras 2.1 – 2.2 Policy 3/1				
7	There are three dimensions to sustainable development: economic, social, environmental:	Para 1.11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>economic role</b> - .... building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land .... is available .... to support growth and innovation; .... identifying .... development requirements, including .... infrastructure</li> </ul>	Policies 6/3 – 6/10 Policies 7/1 – 7/6, 7/8 Para 7.3 Policies 8/1 – 8/18 Policies 9/2 – 9/3 Policy 10/1				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>social role</b> - supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities .... supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations .... creating a high quality built environment .... accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its .... well-being;</li> </ul>	Policies 3/2, 3/4 – 3/15 Para 3.15 Policies 5/1 – 5/15 Para 5.15 Policies 6/1 – 6/2 Policies 7/7, 7/9 – 7/10 Policies 9/3 – 9/9				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>environmental role</b> – contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment .... to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution .... mitigate and adapt to climate change .... moving to a low carbon economy.</li> </ul>	Policies 4/1 – 4/16 Policies 8/16 – 8/18 Policies 9/3 – 9/9				
8	.... to achieve sustainable development, economic, social and environmental gains should be sought jointly and simultaneously through the planning system. The planning system should play an active role in guiding development to sustainable solutions.	Para 1.11, Paras 2.1 – 2.2 Policy 3/1				
9	.... sustainable development involves seeking positive improvements in the quality of the built, natural and historic environment, as well as in people's quality of life, including:	Para 1.11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>making it easier for jobs to be created</li> </ul>	Policies 7/1 – 7/4				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>moving from .... loss of biodiversity to achieving .... gains for nature</li> </ul>	Policies 4/3 – 4/8				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>replacing poor design with better design</li> </ul>	Policies 3/2 – 3/15				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>improving the conditions in which people live, work, travel and take leisure</li> </ul>	Policies for environment, housing, employment, leisure and community facilities				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>widening the choice of high quality homes</li> </ul>	Policies 5/1 – 5/10				
10	Plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account	Plan is based on evidence				See also NPPF paras 158-177 & 180 below

***The presumption in favour of sustainable development pp.3-5***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
11	.... applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise	Paras 1.1 1.18, 1.20				
12	Proposed development that accords with an up-to-date Local Plan should be approved .... development that conflicts should be refused unless other material considerations indicate otherwise	1.20 Plan says that development in accordance with policies will be permitted and where there is a conflict, or damage to matters of value will not				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
13	The National Planning Policy Framework constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and decision-takers both in drawing up plans and as a material consideration in determining applications	Para 1.4				
14	Plan making:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... should positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area</li> </ul>	The whole plan takes a proactive approach towards development to make the best of opportunities available to Cambridge, subject to constraints imposed by matters of acknowledged interest.				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... Plans should meet objectively assessed needs, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change,</li> </ul>	The plan is evidence based; underpinned by and expresses identified needs.				
15	Policies in Local Plans should follow the approach of the presumption in favour of sustainable development .... development which is sustainable can be approved without delay. All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development, with clear policies that will guide how the presumption should be	Policies are couched in terms of what will be permitted. There are no 'presumption against' policies. However, the Plan makes it clear that development that conflicts with approved policies will not be approved				In the cases of development in flood plains (para 4.56) and the airport Public Safety Zone (para 8.32) there is presumption against development.



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	applied locally.					

***Core planning principles pp.5-6***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
17	.... a set of core land-use planning principles should underpin .... plan-making:					See also comments under numerous paragraphs.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be genuinely plan-led ...., succinct local .... plans setting out a positive vision for the future of the area. Plans should be kept up to date, and be based on joint working and co operation .... provide a practical framework within which decisions on planning applications can be made with a high degree of predictability and efficiency;</li> </ul>	Chapter 2 96 clear policies guide decision making				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be a creative exercise in finding ways to enhance and improve the places</li> </ul>	14 references to how the Plan aims to improve places, e.g. paras 1.10, 2.10, 3.14, 4.5, 5.33, 6.3, 8.3				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and .... infrastructure and thriving local places .... Every effort should be made objectively to identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. Plans should take account of market signals .... set out a clear strategy for allocating sufficient land .... in their area, taking account of the needs of the residential and business communities</li> </ul>	Comprehensively embraced in Chapters 2, 5, 6 7, 8 & 9				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity</li> </ul>	Policies 3/2 – 3/15				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>take account of the different roles and character of different areas, promoting the vitality of our main urban areas, protecting the Green Belts ... recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside and supporting thriving rural communities within it</li> </ul>	Chapters 3 and 4			Rural communities. Relevance to countryside limited	Character is theme running through the Plan – 69 references

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>support the transition to a low carbon future ..., taking full account of flood risk and coastal change, and encourage the reuse of existing resources, including conversion of existing buildings, and encourage the use of renewable resources (for example, by the development of renewable energy);</li> </ul>	Policy 4/13 & 4/16 Paras 4.56 – 4.59 Paras 8.20, 8.41, 8.51			Coastal change	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>contribute to conserving and enhancing the natural environment and reducing pollution. Allocations of land for development should prefer land of lesser environmental value, where consistent with other policies in this Framework</li> </ul>	Para 2.1 Policies 4/1 – 4/8, 4/13 - 4/14 Appendix B				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>encourage the effective use of land by reusing land that has been previously developed (brownfield land)</li> </ul>	Para 1.7. Para 3.6 Para 9.28, 9.45				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>promote mixed use developments, and encourage multiple benefits from the use of land in urban and rural areas, recognising that some open land can perform many functions (such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, carbon storage, or food production)</li> </ul>	Para 1.11, Paras 2.6, 2.9, Policy 3/5 Paras 3.13 – 3.14 Paras 6.09, 6.15, 6.28 Para 8.26 Paras 9.4, 9.42	Carbon storage: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared. However large scale carbon storage in Cambridge is unlikely to be feasible.		Food production of limited applicability	The approach to the multifunctional nature of open space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance,</li> </ul>	Policies 4/9 – 4/12				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable</li> </ul>	Paras 2.3 – 2.10 Policies 8/1 – 8.11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... support local strategies to improve health, social and cultural wellbeing for all, and deliver sufficient community and cultural facilities and services to meet local needs</li> </ul>	Paras 1.16 – 1.17 Policies 5/11 – 5/14 Para 6.7				

***Delivering sustainable development – 1. Building a strong competitive economy pp.6-7***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
18	The Government is committed to securing economic growth....to meet the twin challenges of global competition and of a low carbon future	Whole thrust of Plan in consistent with this				
19	.... ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable economic growth ....not act as an impediment to sustainable growth .... weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system.	Para 1.8 Policies 6/3 – 6/10 Policies 7/1 – 7/6, 7/8 Para 7.3 Policies 8/1 – 8/18 Policies 9/2 – 9/3				An integrated approach across the Plan.
20	.... plan proactively to meet the development needs of business and support an economy fit for the 21st century.	As NPPF para 19				
21	.... business should not be over-burdened by the combined requirements of planning policy .... policies should .... address potential barriers to investment, including a poor environment .... lack of infrastructure, services or housing.... local planning authorities should:	Whole plan sets out policies and proposals to facilitate development and meet environmental, infrastructure, service and housing needs.				The City Council is not the delivery authority for many services, e.g. highways and utilities; its roles are limited
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set out a clear economic vision and strategy for their area which .... encourages sustainable economic growth</li> </ul>	Paras 2.1 – 2.2 Chapter 7 Objectives Paras 7.1 – 7.3				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set criteria, or identify strategic sites, for local and inward investment to match the strategy and to meet anticipated needs</li> </ul>	Paras 2.3 – 2.9 Policy 7/1 Policies 9/1 – 9/9				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>support existing business sectors .... identify and plan for new or emerging sectors likely to locate in their area. Policies should be flexible enough to accommodate [changing] needs not anticipated in the plan</li> </ul>	Policies 7/1 – 7/3				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plan positively for the location, promotion and expansion of clusters or networks of knowledge driven, creative or high technology industries;</li> </ul>	Policy 7/4 Policies 9/5 – 9/7				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify priority areas for economic regeneration, infrastructure provision and environmental enhancement</li> </ul>	Para 2.5 – 2.6 Policies 9/3, 9/6, 9/9				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>facilitate flexible working practices such as the integration of residential and commercial uses</li> </ul>	Para 1.11 Paras 2.6, 2.9 Policy 3/5 Paras 3.13 – 3.14 Paras 6.09, 6.15, 6.28 Para 8.26 Paras 9.4, 9.42				
22	.... avoid the long term protection of sites allocated for employment use where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used .... Land allocations should be regularly reviewed. Where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for the allocated employment use, applications for	Policy 6/3 Policy 7/3 Paras 7.20 – 7.22 Appendix E		Potential conflict for sites on protected industrial sites, on the basis that policy 7/3, does not allow flexibility for loss of industrial floorspace within these sites. Previous national		The approach to protected industrial sites is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	alternative uses of land or buildings should be treated on their merits ....			policy allowed this approach. Although the latest Employment Land Review supports then need for industrial land.		

***Delivering sustainable development – 2. Ensuring the vitality of town centres pp7-8***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
23	.... policies should be positive, promote competitive town centre environments and set out policies for the management and growth of centres .... Local Plans .... should:	Paras 2.1, 2.4 Para 3.42 Paras 6.8?– 6.14, 6.16, 6.24				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>recognise town centres as the heart of their communities and pursue policies to support their viability and vitality;</li> </ul>	Paras 2.1, 2.4 Para 3.42 Paras 6.14, 6.16, 6.24				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>define a network and hierarchy of centres that is resilient to anticipated future economic changes;</li> </ul>	Policies 6/6, 6/7 Para 6.14 Proposals Map				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>define the extent of town centres and primary shopping areas .... clear definition of primary and secondary frontages .... policies that make clear which uses will be permitted</li> </ul>	Policy 6/6 Proposals map				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>promote competitive town centres that provide customer choice and a diverse retail offer and which reflect the individuality of town centres</li> </ul>	Paras 2.1, 2.4, Para 3.42 Para 6.14, 6.16, 6.21, 6.24, 6.28 Policy 6/6 – 6/5				Sub regional role acknowledged. Importance of vitality stressed. Retail study says no need for major retail development until 2016, para 6.15, beyond schemes already permitted. This includes the Grand Arcade, a significant scheme in the City Centre, which would maintain Cambridge's position in the sub region.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>retain and enhance existing markets and, where appropriate, re-introduce or create new ones .... markets remain attractive and competitive</li> </ul>	Para 6.14	This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Cambridge market is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>allocate .... suitable sites to meet the scale and type of retail, leisure, commercial, office, tourism, cultural, community and residential development needed in town centres. It is important that needs.... are met in full and are not compromised by limited site availability. Local planning authorities should therefore undertake an assessment of the need</li> </ul>	<p>Policies 6/3, 6/4, 6/6 – 6/8, 6/10 Policies 9/3, 9/5, 9/6, 9/8, 9/9 Sites 6.01, 7.02 - 7.04</p> <p>Retail study says no need for major retail development until 2016, para 6.15</p>	<p>No specific policies dealing with offices in town centre – Policy 7/2 is more general. There has not been evidence that this has been an issue in the past.</p>			<p>Policies permissive where need identified; allow for inclusion of these uses; and included in site allocations.</p> <p>Review of the Local Plan refers to a retail needs study which was carried out in 2008, and that this will be updated in 2012 to inform the development of the new Local Plan. Policy 6/3 is being suggested for review within Issues and Options following update on needs and is giving greater focus on town centre in new provision for hotels.</p> <p>The approach to offices in the city centre is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>allocate appropriate edge of centre sites for main town centre uses that are well connected to the town centre where suitable and viable town centre sites are not available. If sufficient edge of centre sites cannot be identified, set policies for meeting the identified needs in other accessible locations that are well connected to the town centre;</li> </ul>	<p>Policies 6/3, 9/3, 9/5, 9/6, 9/8 9/9 Sites 6.01, 7.02 - 7.04</p> <p>Retail study says no need for major retail development until 2016, para 6.15</p>				<p>Policies allow for inclusion of these uses; and Included in site allocations.</p> <p>Review of the Local Plan refers to a retail needs study which was carried out in 2008, and that this will be updated in 2012 to inform the development of the new Local Plan. The approach to identifying priority locations for new hotel development is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set policies for the consideration of proposals for main town centre uses which cannot be accommodated in or adjacent to town centres</li> </ul>	<p>Policies 6/2 – 6/4, 6/8, 6/10, Policies 7/1 - 7/2 Paragraph 6.17</p>				<p>All other covered policies would apply, e.g. design, conservation.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>recognise that residential development can play an important role in ensuring the vitality of centres and set out policies to encourage residential development ....</li> </ul>	<p>Policy 5/3 Para 5.6</p>				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where town centres are in decline, local planning authorities should plan positively for their future ....</li> </ul>				Town centre not in decline	
24	.... apply a sequential test to planning applications for main town centre uses that are not in an existing centre .... require applications for main town centre uses to be located in town centres, then in edge of centre locations and only if suitable sites are not available should out of centre sites be considered .... out of centre proposals, preference should be given to accessible sites that are well connected to the town centre. Applicants and local planning authorities should demonstrate flexibility on issues such as format and scale.	Para 6.17 Glossary				Also applied in paras 5.27 & 6.5 Glossary refers to test in PPS6
25	.... sequential approach should not be applied to applications for small scale rural offices or other small scale rural development.				No rural areas	

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
26	When assessing applications for retail, leisure and office development outside of town centres, which are not in accordance with an up-to-date Local Plan .... should require an impact assessment if the development is over a proportionate, locally set floorspace threshold ( .... default threshold is 2,500 sq m).This should include assessment of:	Para 6.17				The retail tests have changed slightly since the 2006 Local Plan was produced under PPS6. This will be taken into account in the new Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the impact of the proposal on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in a centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal</li> </ul>	Para 6.17				The retail tests have changed slightly since the 2006 Local Plan was produced under PPS6. This will be taken into account in the new Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the impact of the proposal on town centre vitality and viability, including local consumer choice and trade in the town centre and wider area, up to five years .... major schemes where .... the impact should also be assessed up to ten years ....</li> </ul>	Para 6.17	Time periods for assessment in the impact assessment: this is a new matter which is a change from when the current plan was prepared.			The retail tests have changed slightly since the 2006 Local Plan was produced under PPS6. This will be taken into account in the new Local Plan.
27	.... application fails to satisfy the sequential test or is likely to have significant adverse impact on .... above factors, it should be refused.	Policy 6/6 – 6/10 Para 6.17				The retail tests have changed slightly since the 2006 Local Plan was produced

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
						under PPS6. This will be taken into account in the new Local Plan.

***Delivering sustainable development – 3. Supporting a prosperous rural economy p9***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
28					No rural areas	

***Delivering sustainable development – 4. Promoting sustainable transport pp 9-11***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
29	Transport policies have an important role to play in facilitating sustainable Development .... Smarter use of technologies can reduce the need to travel. The transport system needs to be balanced in favour of sustainable transport modes, giving people a real choice....	Para 1.11 Paras 2.2, 2.4 - 2.7 Para 3.21 Para 5.24 Policies 8/1 – 8/13 Policies 9/1 - 9/9 Phasing table p.102	Smarter technologies, such as electric vehicles and car clubs etc. have come to fruition since the 2006 plan was adopted.			The approach to smarter technologies is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
30	Encouragement should be given to solutions which support	Para 1.11 Paras 2.2, 2.4 – 2.7				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and reduce congestion.... planning authorities should therefore support a pattern of development which .... facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport.	Para 3.21 Para 5.24 Policies 8/1 – 8/13 Policies 9/1 – 9/9				
31	Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure .... including large scale facilities such as rail freight interchanges, roadside facilities for motorists or transport investment necessary to support strategies for the growth of ports, airports or other major generators of travel demand in their areas....	Para 2.5 – 2.7 Policy 8/12 Policy 9/2 Phasing table p.102			Roadside facilities do not apply	
32	All developments that generate significant amounts of movement should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment.... should take account of whether:	Para 6.17 Policy 8/2 – 8/4 Policy 9/2				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site....</li> </ul>	Policy 3/1 Policy 8/1 Para 8.6 Policy 8/2 Policy 8/3 Policy 8/4				The approach to accessibility is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>safe and suitable access to the site</li> </ul>	Policy 3/1 Policy 8/1 Para 8.6 Policy 8/2 Policy 8/3 Policy 8/4				The approach to accessibility is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts .... Development should only be prevented or refused .... where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe</li> </ul>	Policy 4/13 Para 4.45 Policy 8/3 Para 8/7				
33	When planning for ports, airports and airfields that are not subject to a separate national policy statement, plans should take account of their growth and role in serving .... needs.	Policy 8/12				
34	Plans and decisions should ensure developments that generate significant movement are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised.	Para 1.11 Paras 2.2, 2.4 – 2.7 Policies 8/1, 8/7				
35	Plans should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes .... developments should be located and designed where practical to:	Paras 2.5 – 2.7				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>accommodate the efficient delivery of goods and supplies</li> </ul>	Policy 8/9				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>give priority to pedestrian and cycle movements, and have access to high quality public transport</li> </ul>	Policies 8/5, 8/7 – 8/8, 8/11 Paras 8.17, 8.21 Para 9.12 Phasing Table p 102				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>create safe and secure layouts which minimise conflicts between traffic and cyclists or pedestrians, avoiding street clutter and where appropriate establishing home zones</li> </ul>	Policies 8/5, 8/11 Para 8.21 Phasing Table p 102	Street clutter and home zones: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to street clutter and home zones is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>incorporate facilities for charging plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles</li> </ul>		Charging plug-in facilities: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to electricity sockets for electric buggies is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>consider the needs of people with disabilities by all modes of transport</li> </ul>	Para 2.4 Appendix C				
36	key tool .... a Travel Plan. All developments which generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a Travel Plan	Glossary				The approach to travel plans is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
37	Planning policies should aim for a balance of land uses .... so that people can be encouraged to minimise journey lengths....	Para 1.11 Paras 2.2, 2.4 Policy 3/5 Para 3.13 Policy 7/5 Para 7.30				



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
38	For larger scale residential developments in particular, planning policies should promote a mix of uses in order to provide opportunities to undertake day-to-day activities .... within large-scale developments, key facilities such as primary schools and local shops should be located within walking distance of most properties.	Paras 2.5 – 2.7 Policy 3/5 Paras 3.13, 3.21 Para 5.19 Policies 9/1 – 9/9  See also references under NPPF para 17				
39	If setting local parking standards .... planning authorities should take into account:	Para 8/10 Appendix C				
	• accessibility of the development	Policies 8/1 – 8/11				
	• type, mix and use of development;	Appendix C				
	• availability of and opportunities for public transport	Para 8.22				
	• local car ownership levels	Policies 8/1 – 8/11 Appendix D				The approach to car parking is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	• overall need to reduce the use of high-emission vehicles	Para 8.22				
40	Local authorities should seek to improve the quality of parking in town Centres .... convenient, safe and secure, including .... provision for motorcycles.... set appropriate parking charges that	Para 2.4 Policy 8/10			Parking enforcement and charging are not planning policy matters	

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	do not undermine the vitality of town centres. Parking enforcement .... proportionate.					
41	.... identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice.	Policy 8/11 Para 8/8 Policy 9/2				

***Delivering sustainable development – 5. Supporting high quality communications infrastructure pp 11-12***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
42	.... high quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable economic growth.... . high speed broadband technology .... also plays a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community facilities and services	Policy 8/14 Para 8.34				Policy 8/14 on removal and reinstatement falls outside NPPF
43	.... local planning authorities should support the expansion of electronic communications .... high speed broadband.... keep the numbers of radio and telecommunications masts and the sites for such installations to a minimum consistent with the efficient operation .... Existing	Policy 3/13 Para 3.37 Policy 8/14 Para 8.34				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	masts, buildings and other structures should be used, unless the need for a new site has been justified. Where new sites are required, equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.					
44	.... planning authorities should not impose a ban on new telecommunications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of telecommunications development or insist on minimum distances between new telecommunications development and existing development. They should ensure that:	Policy 8/14				No article 4 Directions in Cambridge
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... that telecommunications infrastructure will not cause .... interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation</li> </ul>	Policy 8/15 Para 8.38 – 8.40	Refers to Mullard Observatory, not a general policy			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>they have considered the possibility of the construction of new buildings or other structures interfering with broadcast and telecommunications services.</li> </ul>		New buildings not addressed			The approach to telecommunications infrastructure is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
45	Applications for telecommunications development (including for prior approval under Part 24 of the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence .... should include:	Para 8.37				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development...</li> </ul>					Implicit in development management decision making.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for an addition to an existing mast or base station, a statement that selfcertifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission on non-ionising radiation protection guidelines;</li> </ul>	Policy 8/14 Para 8.35				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for a new mast or base station, evidence that the applicant has explored the possibility of erecting antennas on an existing building, mast or other structure and a statement that self-certifies that, when operational, International Commission guidelines will be met.</li> </ul>	Policy 8/14 Para 8.35				
46	Local planning authorities must determine applications on planning grounds .... not seek to prevent competition between different operators, question the need for the telecommunications	Policy 8/14		Policy 8/14d conflicts on the question of need		The approach to telecommunications infrastructure is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	system, or determine health safeguards if the proposal meets International Commission guidelines....					

***Delivering sustainable development – 6. Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes pp 12-14***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
47	To boost significantly the supply of housing, local planning authorities should	Para 2.2 Policy 5/1				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use their evidence base to ensure that their Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area ....</li> </ul>	Paras 5.2, 5.8, 5.16 Paras 7.38, 7.47				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years worth of housing against their housing requirements with an additional buffer of 5% .... to ensure choice and competition in the market for land.</li> </ul>	Para 1.14 – 1.15 Policy 10/2				The Annual Monitoring Report monitors housing delivery and provides a trajectory of future delivery.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15</li> </ul>	Policy 5/1 Policies 7/7, 7/9 Para 7.41 Policies 9/1 – 9/9				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for market and affordable housing, illustrate the expected rate of housing delivery through a housing trajectory for the plan period and set out a housing implementation strategy .... describing how they will maintain delivery of a five-year supply of housing land ....</li> </ul>					The Annual Monitoring Report monitors housing delivery and provides a trajectory of future delivery.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set out their own approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances</li> </ul>	Paras 2.5 – 2.6, 2.9 Paras 3.13, 3.37 Paras 9.16, 9.45	This was previously set out in national guidance and there was no need to repeat it in the Local Plan.			The approach to housing density is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
48	Local planning authorities may make an allowance for windfall sites in the five-year supply .... evidence that such sites have consistently become available .... and will continue to provide a reliable source of supply....	Para 5.4				Windfalls are additional to allocations and are referred to in SHLAA.
49	Housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development .... policies for the supply of housing should not .... up-to-date if the local planning authority cannot	See comments on NPPF paras 11 - 15				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	demonstrate a five-year supply ....					
50	To deliver a wide choice of high quality homes .... local planning authorities should:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plan for a mix of housing</li> </ul>	Para 2.2 Policy 5/10 Policies 7/7, 7/9				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required ....</li> </ul>	Policies 5/7, 5.9 – 5/10				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where they have identified that affordable housing is needed, set policies for meeting this need on site, unless off-site provision or a financial contribution of broadly equivalent value can be robustly justified .... and the agreed approach contributes to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities .... policies should be sufficiently flexible to take account of changing market conditions ....</li> </ul>	Policies 5/5 – 5/7, 5.9 Paras 5.8 – 5.13				
51	.... identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings .... where appropriate, acquire properties under compulsory purchase powers .... normally approve planning applications for change to residential use and any associated development from commercial buildings .... where	Policy 5/3 Paras 5.3, 5.5 – 5.6 Paras 7.9, 7.22	On empty property			The approach to empty homes is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	there is an identified need for additional housing in that area, provided that there are not strong economic reasons why such development would be inappropriate.					
52	The supply of new homes .... achieved through planning for larger scale development, such as new settlements or extensions to existing villages and towns that follow the principles of Garden Cities.... local planning authorities should consider whether such opportunities provide the best way of achieving sustainable development .... they should consider whether it is appropriate to establish Green Belt around or adjoining any such new development.	Policies 9/1 – 9/8			New settlements & villages Garden City principles is a new requirement	
53	....consider the case for setting out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens....	Policy 3/10 Paras 3.29 – 3.30				
54	In rural areas, exercising the duty to cooperate ....				No rural areas	
55	To promote sustainable development in rural areas....				No rural areas	

***Delivering sustainable development – Requiring Good Design pp 14-16***



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
56	Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better ....	Para 2.2 Chapter 3, especially Policies 3/4, 3/7, 3/11 and 3/12 Paras 3.3, 3.15 Paras 9.16, 9.19, 9.35, 9.42, 9.45				
57	It is important to plan positively for the achievement of high quality and inclusive design for all development ....	Policies 3/7 and 3/12 Para 3.3, 3.15, 3.33 Para 7.30 Paras 9.16, 9.19, 9.35, 9.42, 9.45				
58	.... plans should develop robust and comprehensive policies that set out the quality of development that will be expected for the area .... based on stated objectives for the future of the area and an understanding and evaluation of its defining characteristics .... aim to ensure that developments:	Policies 3/2, 3/4, 3/7				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term ....</li> </ul>	Chapter 3				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>establish a strong sense of place, using streetscapes and buildings to create attractive and comfortable places ....</li> </ul>	Para 3.3				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>optimise the potential of the site to accommodate development, create .... an appropriate mix of uses (including incorporation of</li> </ul>	Paras 3.20 – 3.21 See also comments on NPPF para 17				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	green and other public space as part of developments) and support local facilities and transport ....					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>respond to local character and history, and reflect the identity of local surroundings and materials, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation;</li> </ul>	Policies 3/2 and 3/4				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>create safe and accessible environments where crime .... do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion;</li> </ul>	Policy 3/7 Paras 3.14, 3.22, 3.33, Para 8.11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>are visually attractive as a result of good architecture and appropriate landscaping</li> </ul>	Policy 3/11 Paras 3.31 – 3.32 Para 4.18				
59	.... consider using design codes where they could help deliver high quality outcomes. However, .... should avoid unnecessary prescription or detail ....	Para 3.26				No specific reference to design codes, although design codes have been developed for the urban extensions and are already in use. The approach to design codes is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
60	.... policies and decisions should not .... impose architectural styles or particular tastes and they should not stifle innovation,	Policies 3/12 – 3/13 Paras 3.33 – 3.40				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	originality or initiative .... however, proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness.					
61	.... planning policies and decisions should address the connections between people and places and the integration of new development into the natural, built and historic environment	Policies 3/2 and 3/4 Para 3.15				
62	.... have local design review arrangements in place to provide assessment and support to ensure high standards of design .... refer major projects for a national design review.					Service issue not a policy issue.  The Council does have design review arrangements in place via the Design and Conservation Panel and the Quality Panel for Cambridgeshire.
63	In determining applications, great weight should be given to outstanding or innovative designs ....	Policies 3/12 – 3/13 Paras 3.33 – 3.40				
64	Permission should be refused for development of poor design....	Policy 3/12 – 3/14 Paras 3.33 – 3.41				
65	.... not refuse planning permission for buildings or infrastructure which promote high levels of sustainability because of concerns about incompatibility with an existing townscape, if those concerns have been	Para 3.6 Para 4.36 Paras 8.42 – 8.43.8.57 Para 9.13				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	mitigated by good design (unless the concern relates to a designated heritage asset and the impact would cause material harm to the asset or its setting which is not outweighed by the proposal's economic, social and environmental benefits).					
66	Applicants will be expected to work closely with those directly affected by their proposals to evolve designs that take account of the views of the community....	Para 4.36				
67	.... Control over outdoor advertisements should be efficient, effective and simple in concept and operation .... Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety, taking account of cumulative impacts.	Policy 3/15 Para 3.42	No specific mention of outdoor adverts, as this is controlled via its own consent regime system (Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007)			
68	Where an area justifies a degree of special protection .... an Area of Special Control Order may be approved ....		No specific mention in the Plan, but the City Council has established an Area of Special Control via the development management process with regards to the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements)			

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
			(England) Regulations.			

***Delivering sustainable development – 8. Promoting healthy communities pp 17-19***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
69	.... facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities ..... a shared vision with communities of the residential environment and facilities .... .... planning authorities should aim to involve all sections of the community in the development of Local Plans and in planning decisions, and should facilitate neighbourhood planning .... Planning policies .... to achieve places which promote:	Paras 2.2, 2.5, 2.12 Paras 3.19, 3.26 Paras 5.1, 5.19 – 5.28 Policies 5/11 – 5/14 Para 9.2 Policies 9/3 – 9/9 Paras 10.7, 10.10 Proposals Schedule				Development of plans is a process issue
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>opportunities for meetings between members of the community .... including through mixed-use developments, strong neighbourhood centres and active street frontages ....</li> </ul>	See comments on NPPF para 17 Para 3.19 Paras 9.22, 9.35				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion ...</li> </ul>	Paras 3.14, 3.19, 3.22, 3.33 Para 5.6 Para 10.7				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>safe and accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space ....</li> </ul>	Para 3.19 Policy 4/2 Paras 8.11 – 8.12, 8.24 Para 10.7				
70	To deliver the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services .... planning policies and decisions should	See para 69 above				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plan positively for .... shared space, community facilities (such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship) and other local services</li> </ul>	Policies 5/12 – 5/14 Policies 6/2 – 6/8, 6/10 Policies 9/3 – 9/9	Loss of public houses: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to the loss of public houses is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services .....</li> </ul>	Policy 4.2 Policy 5/11 Paras 5.19 – 5.25				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ensure that established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernise ....</li> </ul>	Policy 5/12 Para 5.12				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ensure an integrated approach to considering the location of housing, economic uses and community facilities and services</li> </ul>	See comments on NPPF para 17	Loss of public houses: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared			
71	.... take a positive and		Community right to			

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	collaborative approach to enable development to be brought forward under a Community Right to Build Order ....		build: this is a new matter since the current plan was prepared.			
72	The Government attaches great importance to ensuring that a sufficient choice of school places is available .... should take a proactive, positive and collaborative approach to meeting this requirement, and to development that will widen choice in education. They should:	Paras 5.25, 5.28, 5.30 Paras 9.12, 9.22, 9.35 Paras 10.7, 10.10				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• give great weight to the need to create, expand or alter schools....</li> </ul>	See para 6.9 for weight given to community facilities				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• work with schools promoters to identify and resolve key planning issues ....</li> </ul>					Process issue
73	.... high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation.... Planning policies should be based on robust and up to date assessments of the needs .... and opportunities for new provision ....	Para 2.11				
	Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:	Policy 5/11				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements ....</li> </ul>	Policy 5/11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the loss .... would be replaced by equivalent or better provision ....</li> </ul>	Policy 5/11				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.</li> </ul>	Policy 5/11				
75	.... policies should protect and enhance public rights of way and access. Local authorities should seek opportunities to provide better facilities for users ....	Para 9.19				This is a matter primarily dealt with by the County Council
76	Local communities through local and neighbourhood plans should be able to identify for special protection green areas of particular importance to them. By designating land as Local Green Space local communities will be able to rule out new development other than in very special circumstances ....		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
77	The Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space. The designation should only be used:		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy/Statement	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves ....</li> </ul>		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance ....</li> </ul>		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land</li> </ul>		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
78	Local policy for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with policy for Green Belts.		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to Local Green Space is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

***Delivering sustainable development – 9. Protecting Green Belt land pp 19-21***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
79	....aim of Green Belt policy is to	Objectives 1-3				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	prevent urban sprawl the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and their permanence	Policy 4/1				
80	Purposes of Green Belt:	Policy 3/2				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Check sprawl of large built up areas</li> </ul>				Cambridge is not a large built up area	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prevent neighbouring towns from merging</li> </ul>	Para 4.5				Plan refers to communities merging para 4.5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Safeguard countryside from encroachment</li> </ul>	Para 4.5				Plan refers to safeguarding setting para 4.5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preserve setting and character of historic towns</li> </ul>	Para 4.5				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assist in regeneration</li> </ul>	Paras 2.5-2.9				
81	....plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt ....	Para 2.11 Para 3.6d Policy 3/3 Para 4.5				
82	New Green Belts should only be established in exceptional circumstances .... If proposing a new Green Belt, local planning authorities should:				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>demonstrate why normal planning and development management policies would not be adequate;</li> </ul>				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set out whether any major changes in circumstances have made the adoption of this exceptional measure</li> </ul>				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	necessary ....					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>show what the consequences of the proposal would be for sustainable development ....</li> </ul>				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>demonstrate the necessity for the Green Belt and its consistency with Local Plans for adjoining areas ....</li> </ul>				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>show how the Green Belt would meet the other objectives ....</li> </ul>				Not an issue for the 2006 Local Plan	
83	....establish Green Belt boundaries in their Local Plans which set the framework for Green Belt and settlement policy .... Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan .... Green Belt boundaries having regard to their intended permanence in the long term....	The Local Plan 2006 sets out the boundaries of the Green Belt on the Proposals Map				Applicable to future reviews
84	Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development .... channelling development towards urban areas inside the Green Belt boundary .... or towards locations beyond the outer Green Belt boundary.	Paras 2.5-2.9 Para 3.6g				
85	.... defining boundaries .....	Policy 4/1 Para 4.5 Proposals Map				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... consistency with Local Plan strategy ....</li> </ul>	Policy 4/1 Para 4.5 Proposals Map				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>not include land which it is unnecessary ....</li> </ul>	Policy 4/1 Para 4.5 Proposals Map				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... identify in their plans areas of 'safeguarded land' between the urban area and the Green Belt, in order to meet longer-term ....</li> </ul>	Proposals Map				There are areas safeguarded for Addenbrooke's Hospital. The approach to land at Cambridge East is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... boundaries will not need to be altered at end of the development plan period ....</li> </ul>	Policy 4/1 Para 4.5 Proposals Map				The Cambridge Green Belt was reviewed through the last Local Plan (2006). The approach to Green Belt is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... define boundaries clearly, using physical features....</li> </ul>	Proposals Map				
86	Villages and the Green Belt				No villages in City areas of Green Belt	
87	.... inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special	Policy 4/1				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	circumstances					
88	.... local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt ....	Policy 4/1				
89	.... construction of new buildings as inappropriate in Green Belt. Exceptions ....	Para 4.5 says 'regard will be had to relevant national planning policy'				
	• .... agriculture and forestry ....	ditto				
	• .... outdoor sport, outdoor recreation and for cemeteries....	ditto				
	• .... extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions ....	ditto				
	• .... replacement of a buildings ...	ditto				
	• .... infilling in villages ....	ditto				No villages in City areas of Green Belt
90	.... other forms of development are also not inappropriate in Green Belt ....	Para 4.5 says 'regard will be had to relevant national planning policy'				
	• mineral extraction	ditto				
	• engineering operations	ditto				
	• local transport infrastructure ....	ditto				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>reuse of buildings ....</li> <li>development brought forward under a Community Right to Build Order</li> </ul>	ditto				
			Community Right to Build: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			
91	.... located in the Green Belt, elements of many renewable energy projects will comprise inappropriate development ....	Policy 4/1, Policy 8/17 para 8.49				Policy 8/17 might be seen to be looser than the NPPF suggests, but para 8.49 supports NPPF
92	.... development proposals within Community Forests in the Green Belt should be subject to the normal policies controlling development in Green Belts.				Not considered for Cambridge	

***Delivering sustainable development – 10. Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change pp 21-25***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
93	Planning plays a key role in helping shape places to secure radical reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, minimising vulnerability and providing resilience to the impacts of	Policies 8/16 – 8/17 Paras 8.41 - 8.49				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	climate change, and supporting the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy and associated infrastructure ...					
94	.... adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change,16 taking full account of flood risk, coastal change and water supply and demand considerations.	Paras 3.6a, 3.6e Policies 4/13, 4/16 Paras 4.4, 4.14, 4.45, 4.56 – 4.60 Policies 3/1, 8/16/ 8/17 8/18 Paras 8.20, 8.50 – 8.51	Water consumption: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.		Coastal change	Further guidance provided in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD The approach to water consumption, climate change mitigation and adaptation is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
95	To support the move to a low carbon future ....:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plan for new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions</li> </ul>	Policy 3/1 and the Sustainable Development Checklist See comments on NPPF para 17				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>actively support energy efficiency improvements to existing buildings; and</li> </ul>		Not explicit as energy efficiency measures were more appropriately dealt with through Building Regulations. Energy efficiency is covered in the Sustainable Design & Construction SPD.			The approach to consequential improvements is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan. Energy efficiency in new (and existing properties) has increased in policy importance since 2006.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>when setting any local requirement for a building's sustainability, do so in a way consistent with the Government's zero carbon buildings policy and adopt nationally described standards</li> </ul>	Para 8.14	Zero Carbon Policy: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to zero carbon policy and construction methods such as BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
96	.... determining planning applications, local planning authorities should expect new development ....:					Development management process
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>comply with adopted Local Plan policies on local requirements for decentralised energy supply ....</li> </ul>	Policy 8/16				Development management process



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>take account of landform, layout, building orientation, massing and landscaping to minimise energy consumption</li> </ul>	Policies 3/1 and 3/12 Para 3.33 Para 8.42				Sustainable Design and Construction SPD and Sustainable Development Checklist
97	.... increase the use and supply of renewable and low carbon energy, local planning authorities should recognise the responsibility on all communities to contribute to energy generation from renewable or low carbon sources ....should:	Policies 8/16 – 8/17 Para 8.47				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>have a positive strategy to promote energy from renewable and low carbon sources</li> </ul>	Policies 8/16 – 8/17 Para 8.47				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>design their policies to maximise renewable and low carbon energy development while ensuring that adverse impacts are addressed satisfactorily, including cumulative landscape and visual impacts</li> </ul>	Policies 8/16 – 8/17 Para 8.47, 8.49				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>consider identifying suitable areas for renewable and low carbon energy sources, and supporting infrastructure ....</li> </ul>		<p>No specific allocations as no appropriate evidence base at that time. Renewable and low carbon energy sources has increased in policy importance since 2006.</p>			<p>The approach to specific allocations for renewable energy (including district heating) is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>support community-led initiatives for renewable and low carbon energy, including developments ....</li> </ul>		<p>This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.</p>			<p>The approach to community led energy projects is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify opportunities where development can draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply systems and for co-locating potential heat customers and suppliers</li> </ul>	<p>No specific reference, but such an approach would be considered in meeting the requirements of Policy 8/16</p>				<p>The approach to decentralised energy is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
98	.... determining planning applications, local planning authorities should:					<p>Development management process</p>

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>not require applicants for energy development to demonstrate the overall need for renewable or low carbon energy .... recognise that even small-scale projects provide a valuable contribution ....</li> </ul>	Policies 8/16 - 8/17				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>approve the application if its impacts are (or can be made) acceptable ....</li> </ul>	Policies 8/16 – 8/17 Para 8.49				
99	.... take account of climate change over the longer term, including .... flood risk, coastal change, water supply and changes to biodiversity and landscape. New development should be planned to avoid increased vulnerability to .... climate change .... new development .... in areas which are vulnerable, care should be taken to ensure that risks can be managed ....	Policy 3/1 Policy 8/18 Policies 9/3, 9/7 – 9/8	There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.		Coastal change	The approach to climate change adaptation is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
100	Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Local Plans should be supported by Strategic Flood Risk		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	Assessment and develop policies to manage flood risk from all sources .... Local Plans should apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid where possible flood risk .... and manage any residual risk .... by		was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>applying the Sequential Test</li> </ul>		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.... applying the Exception Test</li> </ul>		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>safeguarding land from development that is required for current and future flood management</li> </ul>	Flood zones on Proposals Map	There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>using opportunities offered by new development to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding</li> </ul>	Para 8.20	There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where climate change is expected to increase flood risk .... seeking opportunities to facilitate the relocation of development, including housing, to more sustainable locations.</li> </ul>		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
101	The aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding .... The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part			A Phase 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been produced as evidence base for

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	provide the basis for applying this test. A sequential approach should be used in areas known to be at risk from any form of flooding.		of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			the review of the Local Plan. The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
102	If, following application of the Sequential Test, it is not possible ....for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the Exception Test can be applied .... For the Exception Test to be passed:		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>it must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk ....</li> </ul>		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			The approach to flood risk reduction is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a site-specific flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe for its lifetime ....</li> </ul>		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			Development management process



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	Both elements of the test will have to be passed ....		There is no longer a specific flood risk policy (4/16) in the Local Plan as this was deleted as part of the process of saving Local Plan policies. This policy was not saved as it was repeating national policy in PPS25. The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.			
103	When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and only consider development appropriate ....it can be demonstrated that:					Development management process
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk ....</li> </ul>					Development management process
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>development is appropriately flood resilient and resistant, .... and it gives priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems</li> </ul>					Development management process
104	.... developments on sites allocated in development plans through the Sequential Test, applicants need not apply the	The technical annex of the NPPF continues to cover this.				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	Sequential Test. Applications for minor development and changes of use should .... meet the requirements for site specific flood risk assessments.					
105	In coastal areas ....				No coast	
106	.... planning authorities .... reduce risk from coastal change ....				No coast	
107	.... Coastal Change management Area ....				No coast	
108	.... Coastal Change management Area ....				No coast	

***Delivering sustainable development – 11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment pp 25-29***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
109	.... contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:	Para 1.10 Paras 3.6, 3.9, 3.23 – 3.24				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, geological conservation interests and soils</li> </ul>	Para 2.11 Policies 3/2, 4/3, 3/3 Paras 3.2, 3.4, 3.8 – 3.9, 3.12 Para 4.16, 4.20, Paras 9.38, 9.40				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>recognising the wider benefits of ecosystem services</li> </ul>	Chapters 3 & 4 Policy 4/8	Ecosystems services: this is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity .... contributing to the Government's commitment to halt the overall decline in biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient ....</li> </ul>	References in Chapters, 3, 4 & 9 apply				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability</li> </ul>	Para 36 Policies 4/13 4/14 Paras 4.4, 4.45 – 4.49 Para 9.44				There is no specific mention of land instability, as this level of detail is provided within other Environmental Protection Legislation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land ....</li> </ul>	Policy 4/13 Paras 4.38, 4.45 Para 9.46				
110	.... aim should be to minimise pollution and other adverse effects on the local and natural environment. Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value ....	Para 3.6 Policies 4/13 - 4/14 Paras 4.4, 4.45 – 4.49 Para 9.44 Policies in Chapter 4 protect land of				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
		amenity value				
111	.... re-using land that has been previously developed (brownfield land) .... consider the case for setting a locally appropriate target for the use of brownfield land.	No local target was included as a national target was set and the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan set a local target for the City. See comments on NPPF para 17				
112	Local planning authorities should take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land.		No mention of agricultural land			Limited applicability to Cambridge given its urban nature.
113	.... set criteria based policies against which proposals for any development on or affecting protected wildlife or geodiversity sites or landscape areas will be judged. Distinctions should be made between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites ....	Policies 4/3, 4/6, 4/8	Policies 4/5 (Protection of Sites of national Nature Conservation Importance) and 4/7 (Species Protection), were not saved as part of the Saving of Local Plan policies process as they repeated guidance contained within PPS9.			The approach to wildlife and geodiversity protection is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
114	Local planning authorities should:					

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>set out a strategic approach .... planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure</li> </ul>	Policies 4/2, 4/3, 4/6, 4/8, Para 4.5 Proposals Map				The approach to networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>maintain the character of the undeveloped coast ....</li> </ul>				No coast in Cambridge	
115	Great weight should be given to conserving landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty ....				No designations in Cambridge	
116	Planning permission should be refused for major developments in these designated areas ....				No designations in Cambridge	
117	To minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity, planning policies should:	Chapter 4				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale across local authority boundaries</li> </ul>		This is a new matter which was not covered when the current plan was prepared.			The approach to planning for biodiversity at a landscape-scale is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify and map components of the local ecological networks....</li> </ul>	Proposals map shows flood plain, Green Belt, protected opens space , SSSIs, Sites in Local Nature Conservation Importance				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species populations .... identify suitable indicators for monitoring biodiversity in the plan</li> </ul>	Policy 4/8 Para 4.31				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>aim to prevent harm to geological conservation interests</li> </ul>	Para 4.20 Paras 9.38, 9.40				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where Nature Improvement Areas are identified in Local Plans, consider specifying the types of development that may be appropriate ....</li> </ul>					There are no Nature Improvement Areas designated within Cambridge.
118	When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by applying the following principles ....					Development management issues
119	The presumption in favour of sustainable development .... does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directives is being considered, planned or determined.				There are no sites designated under the Birds or Habitats Directive in Cambridge	The Local Plan Review will be subject to the Habitats Regulations Appraisal process.
120	To prevent unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability, planning policies and decisions should ensure that new	Embodied in whole plan, more specifically: Policy 4/13				Matters principally dealt with through development management and

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	development is appropriate for its location. The effects .... of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity, and the potential sensitivity of the area or proposed development to adverse effects from pollution, should be taken into account ....	Paras 4.45 – 4.49				not set out as Local Plan policies.
121	<p>Planning .... should also ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the site is suitable for its new use taking account of ground conditions and land instability, including from natural hazards or former activities .... and any proposals for mitigation ....</li> </ul>		Plan not explicit on this test. Previously covered by PPS23, 'Planning and Pollution Control' which wasn't repeated in the 2006 Local Plan. Also, subject to the provisions in the Environmental Protection Act (1990) and other relevant legislation.			<p>Assumption is that sites allocated for development in the Plan are developable.</p> <p>The approach to contaminated land is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>after remediation .... land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land ....</li> </ul>		Plan not explicit on this test. Previously covered by PPS23, 'Planning and Pollution Control' which wasn't repeated in the 2006 Local Plan. Also, subject to the provisions in the Environmental Protection Act (1990) and other relevant legislation.			The approach to contaminated land is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>adequate site investigation information, prepared by a competent person ....</li> </ul>					Development management issues
122	In doing so, local planning authorities should focus on whether the development itself is an acceptable use of the land, and the impact of the use, rather than the control of processes or emissions themselves where these are subject to approval under pollution control regimes ....					Development management issues
123	Planning policies and decisions should aim to:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>avoid noise from .... new development;</li> </ul>	Para 3.6 Policy 4/13 Para 4.45				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>mitigate and reduce to a minimum other adverse impacts ....</li> </ul>	Para 3.6 Policy 4/13 Para 4.45				



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>recognise that development will often create some noise and existing businesses .... should not have unreasonable restrictions put on them because of changes in nearby land uses ....</li> </ul>	Policy 4/13 Para 4.46 – 4.47				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identify and protect areas of tranquillity which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity ....</li> </ul>				Not applicable as urban area	
124	.... sustain compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas .... Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas is consistent with the local air quality action plan.	Policy 4/14 Paras 4.50 – 4.52				
125	.... limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation.	Para 3.6 Policy 4/15 Paras 4.53 – 4.55 Paras 8.38 – 8.39				

***Delivering sustainable development – 12. Conserving and enhancing the historic environment pp 30-32***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
126	Local planning authorities should set out in their Local Plan a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment .... local planning authorities should take into account:	Para 2.2 Policies 3/13 – 3/14 Paras 3.4, 3.12 Policies 4/9 – 4/12 Para 7.30 Paras 9.40, 9.47				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses ....</li> </ul>	Para 3.5 Policy 3/1 Policy 4/10 Para 4.38				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring</li> </ul>					Plan implicitly assumes this benefit
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness</li> </ul>	Para 2.5 Paras 3.2, 3.19 Policies 3/3 -3/4, 3/7, 3/12				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>opportunities to draw on the contribution made by the historic environment to the character of a place</li> </ul>	Policies 3/6, 3/10, 3/16 Paras 3.2, 3.10 – 3.11 Policy 4/11 Paras 4.2, 4.4, 4.40 – 4.41				
127	When considering the designation of conservation areas, local planning authorities should ensure that an area justifies such status ....	Existing conservation areas are justified by appraisals Para 4.41				Guidance for designation of Conservation Areas, not something to include in plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
128	In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting ....	Policy 4/10 Para 4.36				
129	Local planning authorities should identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal ....	Inherent in policies 4/9 – 4/12				Matter for development management
130	Where there is evidence of deliberate neglect of or damage to a heritage asset the deteriorated state of the heritage asset should not be taken into account in any decision	Para 4.38 relevant but could be spelt out in policy				Matter for development management,
131	In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should take account of:					Matters for development management
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation</li> </ul>	Policies 4/10, 4/12				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities ....</li> </ul>	Inherent in policies 4/9 – 4/12				Matter for development management

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness</li> </ul>	See 126 above				Matter for development management
132	When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation ....	Policies 4/9 – 4/12				Matter for development management
133	Where a proposed development will lead to substantial harm to or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset, local planning authorities should refuse consent, unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits .... or all of the following apply:	Policies 4/9 – 4/12				Matter for development management  The approach to heritage assets is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site</li> </ul>	Policy 4/10				Matter for development management  The approach to heritage assets is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term ....</li> </ul>	Policies 4/10, 4/12 Para 4.40				<p>Matter for development management</p> <p>The approach to heritage assets is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible</li> </ul>					<p>Matter for development management</p> <p>The approach to heritage assets is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use ....</li> </ul>	Policies 4/10, 4/12 Para 4.40				<p>Matter for development management</p> <p>The approach to heritage assets is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.</p>
134	Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal ....	Policies 4/10, 4/12 Para 4.40				Matter for development management
135	The effect of an application on the	Policies 4/11, 4/12				Matter for

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application ....	Para 4.40				development management
136	.... not permit loss of the whole or part of a heritage asset without taking all reasonable steps to ensure the new development will proceed after the loss ....					
137	.... look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites and within the setting of heritage assets to enhance or better reveal their significance ....	Policy 4/11 Para 4.39 Para 6.12			No World Heritage Sites	The approach to Conservation Areas is being considered as part of the review of the Local Plan.
138	.... Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm ....	Policies 4/11 – 4/12			No World Heritage Sites	
139	Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest that are demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments, should be considered subject to the policies for designated heritage assets	Policy 4/9				
140	.... assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the					Development management issue, but there ought to be an in principle policy about enabling development.

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	disbenefits ....					
141	.... make information about the significance of the historic environment gathered as part of plan-making or development management publicly accessible .... require developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost .... and to make this evidence .... publicly accessible.	Policy 4/10 Para 4.34				

***Delivering sustainable development – 13. Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals pp 32-36***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
142	Importance of minerals				Not minerals planning authority	Paras 1.18, 8.57 acknowledge that the County Council is the minerals planning authority
143	Eight bullet points on planning for minerals – most not relevant to Cambridge, except possibly for				Not minerals planning authority	
	Bullet 4 safeguarding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• rail heads, storage and handling</li> <li>• concrete batching</li> </ul>	Policy 8/9 Para 8.21		Policy 9/6 Paras 9.28 – 9.31		Conflict is a cross boundary issue as sidings and aggregate plant are in SCDC
	Bullet 6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• environmental criteria for</li> </ul>	Policy 4/13				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	assessing applications					
144	Planning applications for mineral working				Not minerals planning authority	
145	Planning for supply of aggregates				Not minerals planning authority	
146	Planning for supply of industrial minerals				Not minerals planning authority	
147	Planning for energy extraction				Not minerals planning authority	
148	Safety of storage				Not minerals planning authority	
149	Extraction of coal				Not minerals planning authority	

***Plan-making – Local Plans pp 37-38***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
150 - 151	Delivering sustainable development	Paras 1.10 – 1.13 Chapter 2 Policy 3/1				69 references to sustainable in Plan
152	Achieving economic, social and environmental sustainability	Runs through plan, see e.g. Paras 1.10 – 1.13 Chapter 2 Policy 3/1 Para 3.6 Para 5.1 Para 7.3 Policy 8/1				



NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
153	A plan for the local authority area; supplementary planning documents where justified	Whole Plan. Need for SPDs identified, e.g. para 1.21				
154	Plans aspirations, realistic; identify opportunities; have clear policies	Whole Plan				
155	Meaningful engagement and collaboration with communities and interests	Plan based on extensive public consultation, close working with neighbouring local authorities and engagement with stakeholders				Plan subjected to full public examination
156	Set out strategic priorities					
	• Homes and jobs	Chapters 5, 7, 9				
	• Retail, leisure, commercial development	Chapters 6, 7, 9				
	• Infrastructure telecom, water, flood risk, energy	Chapters 4, 8, 9, Policy 10/1			Costal change, minerals, waste	
	• Health, security, community facilities	Chapters 5, 7, 9, Policy 10/1				
	• Climate change, conservation	Chapters 3, 4, 9				
157	Plans should:					
	• Plan positively	Whole Plan				
	• Have appropriate timescale, 15 years	1999 – 2016 Plan period				
	• Be based on cooperation	See para 155 above				
	• Indicate broad locations for strategic development	Proposals Map				
	• Allocate sites	Policies Proposals Schedule Proposals Map				

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify areas for limited change of use</li> </ul>	Policies 5/3 – 5/4 5/11 Policies 6/6 – 6/7 Policy 7/3				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify land where development inappropriate to protect character</li> </ul>	Open space, Green Belt, heritage assets				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strategy for enhancing environment</li> </ul>	See comments on NPPF para 17				

***Plan-making – Using a proportionate evidence base pp 38 - 42***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
158 - 177	Sets out requirements for evidence base covering: housing; business; infrastructure; minerals; defence; national security; counter terrorism and resilience; environment; historic environment; health and well-being; public safety from major accidents; ensuring viability and deliverability.	Evidence base is referenced throughout the plan, e.g. para 5.8. Detailed list of evidence given in Bibliography, Appendix G				Public examination did not find that that Plan was wanting in justifying policies with evidence

***Plan-making – Planning strategically across local boundaries pp 42-43***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
178 - 179	Duty to cooperate; working collaboratively;	See comments on NPPF para 155				
180	Taking account of different geographic areas	Chapters 1 – 3, 5 – 10 address sub-regional issues				
181	Dealing with cross boundary issues	See para 180; also specifically addressed in five urban extensions, see chapter 9				

***Plan-making – Examining Local Plans & Neighbourhood Plans pp 43-44***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
182	Independent examination and testing for soundness	Plan examined and found to be sound				
183 - 185	Nature and roles of neighbourhood plans		Not in effect at time plan was prepared.			

***Decision-taking pp 45-47***

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
186 - 202	Various					Issues for development

NPPF		Cambridge Local Plan				
Para.	Policy	Compliant	Silent	Conflict	Not applicable	Notes
						management
203 - 206	Use of conditions and planning obligations	Plan sets out framework for this, e.g. para 8.40 Policy 10/1				20 references to obligations; 17 references to use of conditions
207	Enforcement	Single reference, para 7.55				Service issue not a policy issue.